arXiv:1612.01068v1 [math.AP] 4 Dec 2016

ON THE INVISCID LIMIT OF THE INCOMPRESSIBLE NAVIER-STOKES EQUATIONS

ZIHUA GUO, JINLU LI, AND ZHAOYANG YIN

Abstract. We prove the inviscid limit of the incompressible Navier-Stokes equation in the same topology of Besov space as the initial data. The proof is based on proving the continuous dependence of the Navier-Stokes equation uniformly with respect to the viscocity. To show the latter, we rely on some Bona-Smith type argument in the L^p setting.

1. Introduction

In this note, we consider the incompressible Navier-Stokes equations

$$\begin{cases} \partial_t u + u \cdot \nabla u - \varepsilon \Delta u = -\nabla P, \\ \operatorname{div} u = 0, \\ u(0,x) = u_0, \end{cases} \tag{1.1}$$

where $u(t,x) : [0,\infty) \times \mathbb{R}^d \to \mathbb{R}^d$ is the unknown velocity, $\varepsilon \geq 0$ is the viscocity parameter, and P is the pressure term. When the viscocity vanishes, namely $\varepsilon = 0$, then (1.1) reduces to the Euler equations for ideal incompressible fluid. Both Navier-Stokes and Euler equations have been extensively studied and the problems of global regularity for 3D equations are still challenging open problems. See [1] for a survey of studies for both equations.

Formally, as $\varepsilon \to 0$, the solution of the Navier-Stokes equation converges to the solution of the Euler equation. To derive the convergence rigorously is the inviscid limit problem. This problem has been studied in many literatures. See for example [15, 7], and [4] for the inviscid limit on the bounded domain. In [8], Majda showed under the assumption $u_0 \in H^s$ with $s > \frac{d}{2} + 2$, the solutions u_ε to (1.1) converge in L^2 norm as $\varepsilon \to 0$ to the unique solution of Euler equation and the convergence rate is of order εt. In [9], Masmoudi proved the convergence in H^s norm under the assumption $u_0 \in H^s$ with $s > \frac{d}{2} + 1$. In dimension two the results are global in time and were improved in [6] where the assumption is improved to $u_0 \in B^2_{2,1}$ with convergence in L^2. The two dimensional results were further generalized to other Besov space $B^{2/p+1}_{p,1}$ with convergence in L^p, see section 3.4 in [10]. More recently, in a breakthrough paper Bourgain and Li [2] employed a combination of Lagrangian and Eulerian techniques to obtain strong local ill-posedness results in borderline Sobolev space H^2. In three dimension a similar result was proved in [16] for axis-symmetric flows without swirl. By interpolation with the uniform estimates, one can get the convergence in all intermediate spaces. However the convergence in the same topology as the initial data (e.g. $B^{2/p+1}_{p,1}$ in 2D) was unknown and mentioned as open problem in Remark 4.3 in [10].

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 35Q30, 76B03.

Key words and phrases. Navier-Stokes equations, inviscid limit, Besov space.

The purpose of this note is to study the inviscid limit in the same topology. As a by-product, we obtain the continuous dependence for the Euler equation which was not proved in [1] or other literatures that we are aware of. The main result of this note is

Theorem 1.1. *Let $d \geq 2$. Assume that $\varepsilon \in [0,1]$ and (s,p,r) satifies*

$$s > \frac{d}{p} + 1, p \in [1,\infty], r \in (1,\infty) \quad \text{or} \quad s = \frac{d}{p} + 1, p \in [1,\infty], r = 1. \tag{1.2}$$

Then for any $R > 0$, $u_0 \in B_R = \{\phi \in B^s_{p,r} : \|\phi\|_{B^s_{p,r}} \leq R, \text{ div } \phi = 0\}$, there exists $T = T(R,s,p,r,d) > 0$ such that the Navier-Stokes equation has a unique solution $u_\varepsilon = S^\varepsilon_T(u_0) \in C([0,T]; B^s_{p,r})$. Moreover, we have

1) (Uniform bounds): there exists $C = C(R,s,p,r,d) > 0$ such that

$$\|u_\varepsilon(t)\|_{L^\infty_T B^s_{p,r}} \leq C, \quad \forall\ \varepsilon \in [0,1]. \tag{1.3}$$

Moreover, if $u_0 \in B^\gamma_{p,r}$ for some $\gamma > s$, then $\exists\ C_2 = C_2(R,\gamma,s,p,r,d) > 0$

$$\|u_\varepsilon(t)\|_{L^\infty_T B^\gamma_{p,r}} \leq C_2 \|u_0\|_{B^\gamma_{p,r}}. \tag{1.4}$$

2) (Uniform continuous dependence): the solution map $u_0 \to S^\varepsilon_T(u_0)$ is continuous from B_R to $C([0,T]; B^s_{p,r})$ uniformly with respect to ε. Namely, $\forall\ \eta > 0$, $\exists \delta = \delta(u_0,R,s,p,r,d) > 0$ such that for any $\psi \in B_R$ with $\|\psi - u_0\|_{B^s_{p,r}} < \delta$ then

$$\|S^\varepsilon_T(u_0) - S^\varepsilon_T(\psi)\|_{L^\infty_T B^s_{p,r}} < \eta, \quad \forall\ \varepsilon \in [0,1]. \tag{1.5}$$

3) (Inviscid limit): we have

$$\lim_{\varepsilon \to 0} \|S^\varepsilon_T(u_0) - S^0_T(u_0)\|_{L^\infty_T B^s_{p,r}} = 0. \tag{1.6}$$

Remark 1. The novelty of the above theorem is part 2) and 3), while part 1) is classical. For $d = 2$, using the structures of the equations one can derive a global a-priori bound on $\|u(t)\|_{B^s_{p,r}}$, and hence the above theorem holds for any T. For $d = 3$, assuming axis-symmetry without swirl and an additional condition on the vorticity, we also have the above theorem for any T.

Remark 2. In the case $s > \frac{d}{p} + 1, p \in [1,\infty]$, $r = \infty$, the above theorem also holds for $u_0 \in B^s_{p,\infty}$ assuming additionally

$$\lim_{j \to \infty} 2^{js} \|\Delta_j u_0\|_p = 0. \tag{1.7}$$

Without (1.7) we have existence and uniqueness in $L^\infty_T B^s_{p,\infty}$ (see Theorem 7.1 in [1]), however, no continuous dependence. The main reason is that $B^s_{p,\infty}$ functions can not be approximated by functions with compact Fourier support.

Remark 3. We prove local well-posedness in $B^1_{\infty,1}$, improving the previous result in [14]. In particular, the continuous dependence seems to be new and the arguments work for many other equations in fluid dynamics. This is a bit surprising since near $B^1_{\infty,1}$ there is some weak norm inflation phenomena, see [11, 12, 13].

The proof of the theorem is an application of the Bona-Smith method [3] but in the L^p setting. The method is very useful in proving the continuity of the solution map especially when the solution map is not Lipshitz or C^k smooth. In our problem,

the solution map of the Euler equation was known not to be locally Lipshitz (at least in the torus case, see [5]), hence one can not have

$$\|S_T^0(\phi) - S_T^0(\psi)\|_{L_T^\infty B^s_{p,r}} \le C\|\phi - \psi\|_{B^s_{p,r}}. \tag{1.8}$$

The essence of the Bona-Smith method is, however, to show for any $\phi \in B^s_{p,r}$

$$\|S_T^0(S_N\phi) - S_T^0(\phi)\|_{L_T^\infty B^s_{p,r}} \le C\|S_N\phi - \phi\|_{B^s_{p,r}}, \tag{1.9}$$

where S_N the frequency localization operator defined in Section 2. With these estimates we can conclude the continuous dependence.

2. Preliminaries

In this section we collect some preliminary definitions and lemmas. For more details we refer the readers to [1].

Let $\chi : \mathbb{R}^d \to [0,1]$ be a radial, non-negative, smooth and radially decreasing function which is supported in $\mathcal{B} \triangleq \{\xi : |\xi| \le \frac{4}{3}\}$ and $\chi \equiv 1$ for $|\xi| \le \frac{5}{4}$. Let $\varphi(\xi) = \chi(\frac{\xi}{2}) - \chi(\xi)$. Then φ is supported in the ring $\mathcal{C} \triangleq \{\xi \in \mathbb{R}^d : \frac{3}{4} \le |\xi| \le \frac{8}{3}\}$. For $u \in \mathcal{S}'$, $q \in \mathbb{Z}$, we define the Littlewood-Paley operators: $\dot\Delta_q u = \mathcal{F}^{-1}(\varphi(2^{-q}\cdot)\mathcal{F}u)$, $\Delta_q u = \dot\Delta_q u$ for $q \ge 0$, $\Delta_q u = 0$ for $q \le -2$ and $\Delta_{-1}u = \mathcal{F}^{-1}(\chi\mathcal{F}u)$, and $S_q u = \mathcal{F}^{-1}\big(\chi(2^{-q}\xi)\mathcal{F}u\big)$. Here we use $\mathcal{F}(f)$ or $\widehat{f}$ to denote the Fourier transform of f.

We define the standard vector-valued Besov spaces $B^s_{p,r}$ and $\dot B^s_{p,r}$ of the functions $u : \mathbb{R}^d \to \mathbb{R}^d$ with finite norms which are defined by

$$\|u\|_{B^s_{p,r}} \triangleq \big|\big|(2^{js}\|\Delta_j u\|_{L^p})_{j\in\mathbb{Z}}\big|\big|_{\ell^r},$$
$$\|u\|_{\dot B^s_{p,r}} \triangleq \big|\big|(2^{js}\|\dot\Delta_j u\|_{L^p})_{j\in\mathbb{Z}}\big|\big|_{\ell^r}.$$

Next we recall nonhomogeneous Bony's decomposition from [1].

$$uv = T_u v + T_v u + R(u,v),$$

with

$$T_u v \triangleq \sum_j S_{j-1}u\Delta_j v, \quad R(u,v) \triangleq \sum_j \sum_{|k-j|\le 1} \Delta_j u \Delta_k v.$$

This is now a standard tool for nonlinear estimates. Now we use Bony's decomposition to prove some nonlinear estimates which will be used for the estimate of pressure term.

Lemma 2.1. *Assume (s,p,r) satisfies (1.2). Then*

1) there exists a constant C, depending only on d,p,r,s, such that for all $u, f \in B^s_{p,r}$ with $\operatorname{div} u = 0$,

$$\|u\cdot\nabla f\|_{B^{s-1}_{p,r}} \le C\|u\|_{B^{s-1}_{p,r}}\|f\|_{B^s_{p,r}}.$$

2) there exists a constant C, depending only on d,p,r,s, such that for all $u, v \in B^s_{p,r}$ with $\operatorname{div} u = \operatorname{div} v = 0$,

$$\|\nabla(-\Delta)^{-1}\operatorname{div}(u\cdot\nabla v)\|_{B^s_{p,r}} \le C\big(\|u\|_{C^{0,1}}\|v\|_{B^s_{p,r}} + \|v\|_{C^{0,1}}\|u\|_{B^s_{p,r}}\big);$$
$$\|\nabla(-\Delta)^{-1}\operatorname{div}(u\cdot\nabla v)\|_{B^{s-1}_{p,r}} \le C\min(\|u\|_{B^{s-1}_{p,r}}\|v\|_{B^s_{p,r}}, \|v\|_{B^{s-1}_{p,r}}\|u\|_{B^s_{p,r}}),$$

where $\|f\|_{C^{0,1}} = \|f\|_{L^\infty} + \|\nabla f\|_{L^\infty}$.

Proof. This follows from a standard argument (e.g. see Lemmas 7.9-7.10, [1] and Proposition 8, [14]) using Bony's decomposition and the fact that $u\cdot\nabla v = \operatorname{div}(v\otimes u)$ and $\operatorname{div}(u\cdot\nabla v) = \operatorname{div}(v\cdot\nabla u)$ when $\operatorname{div} u = \operatorname{div} v = 0$. We omit the details. □

We need an estimate for the transport-diffusion equation which is uniform with respect to the viscocity. Consider the following equation:

$$\begin{cases} \partial_t f + v \cdot \nabla f - \varepsilon \Delta f = g, \\ f(0) = f_0, \end{cases} \tag{2.1}$$

where $v : \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}^d \to \mathbb{R}^d$, $f_0 : \mathbb{R}^d \to \mathbb{R}^N$, and $g : \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}^d \to \mathbb{R}^N$ are given.

Lemma 2.2 (Theorem 3.38, [1]). *Let $1 \le p, r \le \infty$. Assume that*

$$\sigma > -d \min(\frac{1}{p}, \frac{1}{p'}) \quad \text{or} \quad \sigma > -1 - d \min(\frac{1}{p}, \frac{1}{p'}) \quad \text{if} \quad \operatorname{div} v = 0. \tag{2.2}$$

There exists a constant C, depending only on d, p, r, σ, such that for any smooth solution f of (2.1) and $t \ge 0$ we have

$$\sup_{s\in[0,t]} \|f(s)\|_{B^\sigma_{p,r}} \le C e^{CV_p(v,t)} \big(\|f_0\|_{B^\sigma_{p,r}} + \int_0^t \|g(\tau)\|_{B^s_{p,r}} d\tau \big), \tag{2.3}$$

with

$$V_p(v,t) = \begin{cases} \int_0^t \|\nabla v(s)\|_{B^{\frac{d}{p}}_{p,\infty}\cap L^\infty} ds, & \text{if} \quad \sigma < 1 + \frac{d}{p}, \\ \int_0^t \|\nabla v(s)\|_{B^{\sigma-1}_{p,r}} ds, & \text{if} \quad \sigma > 1 + \frac{d}{p} \text{ or } \{\sigma = 1 + \frac{d}{p} \text{ and } r = 1\}. \end{cases}$$

If $f = v$, then for all $\sigma > 0$ ($\sigma > -1$, if $\operatorname{div} v = 0$), the estimate (2.3) holds with

$$V_p(t) = \int_0^t \|\nabla v(s)\|_{L^\infty} ds.$$

3. Proof of Theorem 1.1

In this section we prove Theorem 1.1. Assume (s, p, r) satisfies the conditions in Theorem 1.1. For fixed $\varepsilon > 0$, by classical results we known there exists $T_\varepsilon = T(\|u_0\|_{B^s_{p,r}}, \varepsilon) > 0$ such that the Navier-Stokes equation (1.1) has a unique solution u in $\mathcal{C}([0, T_\varepsilon]; B^s_{p,r})$.

Step 1. We show: $\exists\, T = T(\|u_0\|_{B^s_{p,r}}) > 0$ such that $T_\varepsilon \ge T$. Moreover, (1.3) and (1.4) hold.

By the relation $P = P(u) := (-\Delta)^{-1} \operatorname{div}(u \cdot \nabla u)$, we have the following estimates (see Lemma 2.1):

$$\|\nabla P\|_{B^s_{p,r}} \le C(\|u\|_{L^\infty} + \|\nabla u\|_{L^\infty}) \|\nabla u\|_{B^s_{p,r}}. \tag{3.1}$$

By Lemma 2.2 and (3.1), we have

$$\begin{aligned} \|u(t)\|_{B^s_{p,r}} &\le C e^{CV_p(u,t)} \big(\|u_0\|_{B^s_{p,r}} + \int_0^t \|\nabla P(\tau)\|_{B^s_{p,r}} d\tau \big) \\ &\le C e^{CV_p(u,t)} \big(\|u_0\|_{B^s_{p,r}} + \int_0^t \|u(\tau)\|^2_{B^s_{p,r}} d\tau \big). \end{aligned}$$

Since $V_p(u,t) \le \int_0^t \|u(\tau)\|_{B^s_{p,r}} d\tau$, then by continuity arguments there exists $T = T(\|u_0\|_{B^s_{p,r}}) > 0$ such that

$$\|u(t)\|_{B^s_{p,r}} \le C, \quad t \in [0, T].$$

Similarly,

$$\begin{aligned}\|u(t)\|_{B^\gamma_{p,r}} &\le Ce^{CV_p(u,t)}\big(\|u_0\|_{B^\gamma_{p,r}} + \int_0^t \|\nabla P(\tau)\|_{B^\gamma_{p,r}}d\tau\big)\\ &\le Ce^{CV_p(u,t)}\big(\|u_0\|_{B^s_{p,r}} + \int_0^t \|u(\tau)\|_{B^s_{p,r}}\|u(\tau)\|_{B^\gamma_{p,r}}d\tau\big)\end{aligned}$$

and Gronwall's inequality, we obtain

$$\|u(t)\|_{B^\gamma_{p,r}} \le Ce^{C\int_0^t \|u(\tau)\|_{B^s_{p,r}}d\tau}\|u_0\|_{B^\gamma_{p,r}} \le C\|u_0\|_{B^\gamma_{p,r}}.$$

Step 2. We show that the solution map of (1.1) is continuous in a uniform way with respect to $\varepsilon \in [0,1]$.

First we show for any $\phi, \psi \in B_R$

$$\|S^\varepsilon_T(\phi) - S^\varepsilon_T(\psi)\|_{L^\infty_T B^{s-1}_{p,r}} \le C\|\phi-\psi\|_{B^{s-1}_{p,r}}, \quad \forall\ \varepsilon \in (0,1]. \tag{3.2}$$

Indeed, denote $\Phi = S^\varepsilon_T(\phi)$ and $\Psi = S^\varepsilon_T(\psi)$. By Step 1, we have

$$\|\Phi\|_{L^\infty_T B^s_{p,r}} + \|\Psi\|_{L^\infty_T B^s_{p,r}} \le C. \tag{3.3}$$

Let $W = \Phi - \Psi$. Then

$$\begin{cases}\partial_t W + \Psi\cdot\nabla W + W\cdot\nabla\Phi - \varepsilon\Delta W = -\nabla(P(\Phi)-P(\Psi)),\\ \operatorname{div}\Phi = \operatorname{div}\Psi = 0,\\ W(0,x) = \phi-\psi.\end{cases}$$

Since $\nabla(P(\Phi)-P(\Psi)) = \nabla(-\Delta)^{-1}\operatorname{div}(W\cdot\nabla\Phi + \Psi\cdot\nabla W)$, then by Lemma 2.1

$$\|\nabla(P(\Phi)-P(\Psi))\|_{B^{s-1}_{p,r}} \le C\|W\|_{B^{s-1}_{p,r}}(\|\Phi\|_{B^s_{p,r}} + \|\Psi\|_{B^s_{p,r}}). \tag{3.4}$$

Then by Lemma 2.2, Lemma 2.1 and (3.4) we get

$$\begin{aligned}\|W(t)\|_{B^{s-1}_{p,r}} &\le Ce^{CV_p(\Psi,T)}\big(\|W(0)\|_{B^{s-1}_{p,r}} + \int_0^t \|W\cdot\nabla\Phi\|_{B^{s-1}_{p,r}}d\tau\\ &\qquad + \int_0^t \|\nabla(P(\Phi)-P(\Psi))\|_{B^{s-1}_{p,r}}d\tau\big)\\ &\le Ce^{CV_p(\Psi,T)}\big(\|W(0)\|_{B^{s-1}_{p,r}} + \int_0^t \|W\|_{B^{s-1}_{p,r}}(\|\Phi\|_{B^s_{p,r}} + \|\Psi\|_{B^s_{p,r}})d\tau\big),\end{aligned}$$

which by Gronwall's inequality and (3.3) implies

$$\|W(t)\|_{B^{s-1}_{p,r}} \le C\|W(0)\|_{B^{s-1}_{p,r}}, \tag{3.5}$$

and thus (3.2) is proved.

Next we show for any $\phi \in B_R$

$$\|S^\varepsilon_T(S_N\phi) - S^\varepsilon_T(\phi)\|_{L^\infty_T B^s_{p,r}} \le C\|S_N\phi - \phi\|_{B^s_{p,r}}, \quad \forall\ \varepsilon \in [0,1]. \tag{3.6}$$

Indeed, denote $v_N = S^\varepsilon_T(S_N\phi)$ and $v = S^\varepsilon_T(\phi)$. Then by Step 1, we have $\|v\|_{L^\infty_T B^s_{p,r}} \le C$ and

$$\|v_N\|_{L^\infty_T B^{s+k}_{p,r}} \le C\|S_N\phi\|_{B^s_{p,r}} \le C2^{Nk}, \quad k = 0,1,2. \tag{3.7}$$

Let $w_N = v_N - v$. Then we have

$$\begin{cases} \partial_t w_N + v\cdot\nabla w_N + w_N\cdot\nabla v_N - \varepsilon\Delta w_N = -\nabla(P(v_N) - P(v)), \\ \operatorname{div} v = \operatorname{div} v_N = 0, \\ w_N(0,x) = S_N\phi - \phi. \end{cases}$$

Since $\nabla(P(v_N)-P(v)) = \nabla(-\Delta)^{-1}\operatorname{div}(v\cdot\nabla w_N + w_N\cdot\nabla v_N)$, by Lemma 2.1 we get

$$\|\nabla(P(v_N)-P(v))\|_{B^s_{p,r}} \le C\|w_N\|_{B^s_{p,r}}(\|v_N\|_{B^s_{p,r}} + \|v\|_{B^s_{p,r}})$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} \|w_N\cdot\nabla v_N\|_{B^s_{p,r}} &\le C\big(\|w_N\|_{L^\infty}\|\nabla v_N\|_{B^s_{p,r}} + \|w_N\|_{B^s_{p,r}}\|\nabla v_N\|_{L^\infty}\big) \\ &\le C\big(\|w_N\|_{B^{s-1}_{p,r}}\|v_N\|_{B^{s+1}_{p,r}} + \|w_N\|_{B^s_{p,r}}\|v_N\|_{B^s_{p,r}}\big). \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, by Lemma 2.2 and the above estimates we get

$$\begin{aligned} \|w_N(t)\|_{B^s_{p,r}} &\le Ce^{CV_p(v,T)}\big(\|w_N(0)\|_{B^s_{p,r}} + \int_0^t \|w_N\cdot\nabla v_N\|_{B^s_{p,r}} + \|\nabla(P(v_N)-P(v))\|_{B^s_{p,r}}d\tau\big) \\ &\le Ce^{CV_p(v,T)}\big(\|w_N(0)\|_{B^s_{p,r}} + \int_0^t \|w_N\|_{B^s_{p,r}}(\|v_N\|_{B^s_{p,r}} + \|v\|_{B^s_{p,r}})d\tau \\ &\qquad + \int_0^t \|w_N\|_{B^{s-1}_{p,r}}\|v_N\|_{B^{s+1}_{p,r}}d\tau\big) \\ &\le C\big(\|w_N(0)\|_{B^s_{p,r}} + \int_0^t C\|w_N\|_{B^s_{p,r}} + C2^N\|w_N(0)\|_{B^{s-1}_{p,r}}d\tau\big) \\ &\le C\big(\|w_N(0)\|_{B^s_{p,r}} + C\int_0^t \|w_N\|_{B^s_{p,r}}d\tau\big). \end{aligned}$$

Using Gronwall's inequality we prove (3.6).

Now we prove the continuous dependence in $B^s_{p,r}$. For any $\phi,\psi\in B_R$ we have

$$\begin{aligned} &\|S^\varepsilon_T(\phi) - S^\varepsilon_T(\psi)\|_{L^\infty_T B^s_{p,r}} \\ \le&\|S^\varepsilon_T(\phi) - S^\varepsilon_T(S_N\phi)\|_{L^\infty_T B^s_{p,r}} + \|S^\varepsilon_T(\psi) - S^\varepsilon_T(S_N\psi)\|_{L^\infty_T B^s_{p,r}} \\ &\quad + \|S^\varepsilon_T(S_N\phi) - S^\varepsilon_T(S_N\psi)\|_{L^\infty_T B^s_{p,r}} \\ \le& C(\|\phi - S_N\phi\|_{B^s_{p,r}} + \|\phi-\psi\|_{B^s_{p,r}}) \\ &\quad + C\|S^\varepsilon_T(S_N\phi) - S^\varepsilon_T(S_N\psi)\|^{1/2}_{L^\infty_T B^{s-1}_{p,r}}\|S^\varepsilon_T(S_N\phi) - S^\varepsilon_T(S_N\psi)\|^{1/2}_{L^\infty_T B^{s+1}_{p,r}} \\ \le& C(\|\phi - S_N\phi\|_{B^s_{p,r}} + \|\phi-\psi\|_{B^s_{p,r}}) + C2^{N/2}\|\phi-\psi\|^{1/2}_{B^s_{p,r}}. \end{aligned}$$

With the above estimate we obtain the continuous dependence.

Step 3. We finally prove the inviscid limit of the Navier-Stokes system (1.1).

To obtain the result (1.6), we decompose the left term of (1.6) into

$$\begin{aligned} \|S^\varepsilon_T(u_0) - S^0_T(u_0)\|_{L^\infty_t B^s_{p,r}} \le& \|S^\varepsilon_T(S_Nu_0) - S^0_T(S_Nu_0)\|_{L^\infty_t B^s_{p,r}} \\ &+ \|S^\varepsilon_T(S_Nu_0) - S^\varepsilon_T(u_0)\|_{L^\infty_t B^s_{p,r}} \\ &+ \|S^0_T(S_Nu_0) - S^0_T(u_0)\|_{L^\infty_t B^s_{p,r}}. \end{aligned} \tag{3.8}$$

We set $u_N^\varepsilon = S_T^\varepsilon(S_N u_0)$, $u_N = S_T^0(S_N u_0)$ and $w_N^\varepsilon = u_N^\varepsilon - u_N$ and have

$$\begin{cases} \partial_t w_N^\varepsilon + u_N \cdot \nabla w_N^\varepsilon + w_N^\varepsilon \cdot \nabla u_N^\varepsilon = -\nabla(P(u_N^\varepsilon) - P(u_N)) + \varepsilon \Delta u_N^\varepsilon, \\ \operatorname{div} u_N^\varepsilon = \operatorname{div} u_N = 0, \\ w_N^\varepsilon(0,x) = 0. \end{cases} \tag{3.9}$$

Similarly as Step 2, we have

$$\|w_N^\varepsilon(t)\|_{B^{s-1}_{p,r}} \leq C \int_0^t \|w_N^\varepsilon(\tau)\|_{B^{s-1}_{p,r}} \big(\|u_N^\varepsilon(\tau)\|_{B^s_{p,r}} + \|u_N(\tau)\|_{B^s_{p,r}}\big) d\tau + C\varepsilon 2^N,$$

which implies

$$\|w_N^\varepsilon(t)\|_{B^{s-1}_{p,r}} \leq C\varepsilon 2^N. \tag{3.10}$$

Moreover,

$$\begin{aligned} \|w_N^\varepsilon(t)\|_{B^s_{p,r}} \leq & C e^{V_p(u_N,T)} \Big(\int_0^t \big(\|w_N^\varepsilon(\tau)\|_{B^s_{p,r}} \|u_N^\varepsilon(\tau)\|_{B^s_{p,r}} + \|w_N^\varepsilon(\tau)\|_{B^s_{p,r}} \|u_N(\tau)\|_{B^s_{p,r}} \\ & + \|w_N^\varepsilon(\tau)\|_{B^{s-1}_{p,r}} \|u_N^\varepsilon(\tau)\|_{B^{s+1}_{p,r}} \big) d\tau + \varepsilon \int_0^t \|u_N^\varepsilon(\tau)\|_{B^{s+2}_{p,r}} d\tau \Big) \\ \leq & C \int_0^t \|w_N^\varepsilon(\tau)\|_{B^s_{p,r}} \big(\|u_N^\varepsilon(\tau)\|_{B^s_{p,r}} + \|u_N(\tau)\|_{B^s_{p,r}} \big) d\tau + C\varepsilon 2^{2N}, \end{aligned} \tag{3.11}$$

which along with Gronwall's inequality leads to

$$\|w^\varepsilon(t)\|_{B^s_{p,r}} \leq C\varepsilon 2^{2N}. \tag{3.12}$$

Therefore, combining (3.6), (3.8) and (3.12), we have

$$\|S_T^\varepsilon(u_0) - S_T^0(u_0)\|_{L_t^\infty B^s_{p,r}} \leq C(\|u_0 - S_N u_0\|_{B^s_{p,r}} + \varepsilon 2^{2N}).$$

This completes the proof of (1.6).

Acknowledgements. Z. Y. was partially supported by NNSFC (No. 11671407 and No.11271382), FDCT (No. 098/2013/A3), Guangdong Special Support Program (No. 8-2015), and the key project of NSF of Guangdong province (No. 2016A030311004).

References

[1] H. Bahouri, J. Y. Chemin and R. Danchin, Fourier Analysis and Nonlinear Partial Differential Equations, Grundlehren der Mathematischen Wissenschaften, vol. 343, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, Heidelberg, 2011.

[2] J. Bourgain and D. Li, Strong ill-posedness of the incompressible Euler equation in borderline Sobolev spaces, Invent. Math., 201 (2015), 97C157.

[3] J. L. Bona and R. Smith, The initial-value problem for the Korteweg-de Vries equation, Philos. Trans. R. Soc. Lond. Ser. A, 278 (1975), 555-601.

[4] P. Constantin, I. Kukavica and V. Vicol, On the inviscid limit of the Navier-Stokes equations, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 143 (2015), 3075-3090.

[5] A. Himonas and G. Misiołek, Non-uniform dependence on initial data of solutions to the Euler equations of hydrodynamics, Comm. Math. Phys., 296 (2010), 285-301.

[6] T. Hmidi and S. Kerrani, Inviscid limit for the two-dimensional N-S system in a critical Besov space, Asymptot. Anals., 53, (2007), 125-138.

[7] T. Kato, Nonstationary flows of viscous and ideal fluids in $\mathbb{R}^3$, J. Functional Analysis, 9 (1972), 296-305.

[8] A. Majda, Vorticity and the mathematical theory of an incompressible fluid flow, Comm. Pure Appl. Math., 39 (1986), 187-220.

[9] N. Masmoudi, Remarks about the inviscid limit of the Navier-Stokes system, Comm. Math. Phys. 270 (2007), 777-788.
[10] C. Miao, J. Wu and Z. Zhang, Littlewood-Paley theory and its applications in fluid dynamical equations, Scientific Press, 2011.
[11] G. Misiołek and T. Yoneda, Local ill-posedness of the incompressible Euler equations in C^1 and $B^1_{\infty,1}$, Math. Ann., 364 (2016), 243-268.
[12] G. Misiołek and T. Yoneda, Erratum to: Local ill-posedness of the incompressible Euler equations in C^1 and $B^1_{\infty,1}$, Math. Ann. 363:3 (2015), 1399-1400.
[13] G. Misiołek and T. Yoneda, Continuity of the solution map of the Euler equations in Hölder spaces and weak norm inflation in Besov spaces, arXiv:1601.01024.
[14] H. C. Pak and Y. J. Park, Existence of solution for the Euler equations in a critical Besov space $B^1_{\infty,1}(\mathbb{R}^n)$, Comm. Partial Differential Equations, 29 (2004), 1149-1166.
[15] H. S. G. Swann. The convergence with vanishing viscosity of nonsta- tionary Navier-Stokes flow to ideal flow in $\mathbb{R}^3$. Trans. Amer. Math. Soc., 157 (1971), 373-397.
[16] G. Wu, Inviscid limit for axisymmetric flows without swirl in a critical Besov space, Z. Angew. Math. Phys., 61 (2010), 63-72.

School of Mathematical Sciences, Monash University, Clayton VIC 3800, Australia
E-mail address: zihua.guo@monash.edu

Department of Mathematics, Sun Yat-sen University, Guangzhou, 510275, China
E-mail address: lijl29@mail2.sysu.edu.cn

Department of Mathematics, Sun Yat-sen University, Guangzhou, 510275, China & Faculty of Information Technology, Macau University of Science and Technology, Macau, China
E-mail address: mcsyzy@mail.sysu.edu.cn